

Delta/Montrose/Ouray/San Miguel Transit Feasibility Study

Final Report

Prepared for:

Montrose County
161 South Townsend Avenue
Montrose, CO 81401
(970) 249-7755

Prepared by:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 North Tejon Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-2868

LSC #085220

January 27, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
I	INTRODUCTION	I-1
	Study Background	I-1
	Organization of this Report	I-3
	Current Planning Issues	I-3
	Initial Meetings	I-4
	Preliminary Study Issues	I-4
	Area Background	I-7
II	SOCIOECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY BACKGROUND	II-1
	Introduction	II-1
	Review of Existing Population	II-1
	General Population	II-1
	Income	II-3
	Educational Attainment	II-4
	Transit-Dependent Population	II-4
	Elderly	II-4
	Mobility Impairments	II-7
	Low-Income Individuals	II-9
	Zero-Vehicle Households	II-11
	Permanent and Seasonal Population - San Miguel	II-13
	Projected Population	II-13
	Delta County	II-15
	Montrose County	II-15
	Ouray County	II-15
	San Miguel County	II-15
	Economy	II-15
	Delta County	II-15
	Montrose County	II-16
	Ouray County	II-16
	San Miguel County	II-16
	Future Land Use Development Impacts	II-16
	Major Employers and Activity Centers	II-17
III	EXISTING SERVICES	III-1
	Inventory of Services	III-1
	Delta County	III-3
	Service Overview	III-3
	Montrose County	III-4
	All Points Transit (formerly Montrose County Senior Citizens Transportation Inc.)	III-4
	Service Overview	III-4
	Community Options - Delta/Montrose	III-5
	Horizons Care Center	III-5
	Midwestern Colorado Mental Health Care Center, Inc.	III-5
	Valley Manor Care Center	III-6
	Montrose Taxi	III-6

	Volunteers of America	III-6
	Other Transportation Providers	III-6
	Ouray County	III-7
	Ouray County Council on Aging	III-7
	San Miguel County	III-7
	The Town of Mountain Village	III-7
	Service Area	III-8
	Current Operating Costs and Revenues	III-9
	Town of Telluride (Galloping Goose)	III-10
	Performance Measures	III-12
	Additional Providers	III-12
	San Miguel County Senior Transportation	III-12
	Telluride Express/Wild West Tours	III-13
IV	SURVEY RESULTS	IV-1
	Introduction	IV-1
	Employer Survey Results	IV-1
	Location	IV-1
	Business Characteristics	IV-2
	Employees	IV-2
	Obtaining and Retaining Employees	IV-4
	Hours of Operation	IV-5
	Financial Support for Transit	IV-7
	Employee Survey Results	IV-7
	Location	IV-7
	Employment Characteristics	IV-10
	Work Hours	IV-10
	Travel Characteristics	IV-11
	Support for Transit	IV-14
	Conclusion	IV-17
V	DEMAND FOR SERVICES	V-1
	Introduction	V-1
	Commuting Characteristics	V-2
	County-to-County Commute	V-2
	Estimating Travel Behavior	V-4
	Peak-Hour Commute Estimate	V-7
	Mode Split and Vehicle Availability	V-11
	Total Demand by Modal Split	V-11
	Total Demand by Vehicle Availability	V-11
	Total Demand By Ridership Segment	V-12
	Employee Transit Demand	V-12
	Non-Commuter Demand	V-12
	Non-Program Demand	V-13
	Intercity Demand	V-14
	Previous Analysis	V-14
	Model	V-14
	Existing Demand Summary	V-15
VI	SERVICE ALTERNATIVES	VI-1
	Introduction	VI-1

Types of Service	VI-1
Rideshare Program	VI-2
Vanpool Service Characteristics	VI-3
Commuter Bus Service	VI-4
Regional Bus Service	VI-4
Status Quo	VI-4
Advantages	VI-6
Disadvantages	VI-6
Service Gaps and Duplication of Services	VI-6
Identified Service Gaps	VI-6
Geographic Service Gaps	VI-6
Service Type Gaps	VI-7
Identified Service Duplication	VI-7
Alternative I - Vanpool Service	VI-8
Delta/Montrose	VI-10
Needs and Goals Met	VI-10
Ouray/Ridgway/Montrose	VI-10
Needs and Goals Met	VI-10
Montrose/Ridgway/Telluride	VI-11
Needs and Goals Met	VI-11
Nucla/Norwood/Telluride	VI-11
Alternative II - Commuter Bus Service	VI-12
Delta/Montrose	VI-14
Needs and Goals Met	VI-14
Montrose/Ridgway/Telluride	VI-14
Needs and Goals Met	VI-14
Ouray/Ridgway to Telluride	VI-15
Needs and Goals Met	VI-15
Delta/Montrose/Ridgway/Telluride	VI-15
Needs and Goals Met	VI-16
Nucla/Norwood/Telluride	VI-16
Needs and Goals Met	VI-16
Ouray/Montrose	VI-16
Needs and Goals Met	VI-17
Alternative III - Regional Bus Service	VI-17
Delta/Montrose	VI-19
Needs and Goals Met	VI-19
Montrose/Telluride	VI-19
Needs and Goals Met	VI-20
Ouray/Ridgway/Telluride	VI-20
Needs and Goals Met	VI-20
Delta/Montrose/Ridgway/Telluride	VI-21
Needs and Goals Met	VI-21
Nucla/Norwood/Telluride	VI-21
Needs and Goals Met	VI-22
Ouray/Ridgway/Montrose	VI-22
Needs and Goals Met	VI-22
Summary	VI-22
VII CAPITAL CONSIDERATIONS	VII-1
Introduction	VII-1

Vehicles	VII-1
Vehicle Overview	VII-2
Standard Van	VII-2
Modified Van	VII-3
Body-on-Chassis	VII-4
Small Buses	VII-5
Large Buses	VII-6
Vehicle Selection	VII-7
Service Type	VII-7
Service Demand	VII-8
Passenger Needs	VII-8
Costs	VII-8
Maintenance and Storage Requirements	VII-9
Operating Environment	VII-9
Other Factors	VII-9
Uniformity of Fleet	VII-10
Passenger Amenities	VII-10
Driver Considerations	VII-10
Vehicle Summary	VII-10
Alternative Fuels	VII-11
Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)	VII-11
Methanol	VII-12
Ethanol	VII-12
Diesel Fuel	VII-12
Biodiesel	VII-13
Tax Credits	VII-14
Hydrogen Fuel Technology	VII-14
AC Transit	VII-14
VTA Transit	VII-15
SunLine Transit	VII-16
Hybrid Buses	VII-17
King County Metro Transit Hybrid Articulated Buses	VII-17
General Park-and-Ride Guidelines	VII-18
Park-and-Ride Lots	VII-18
Bicycle Parking	VII-19
Communication Equipment	VII-20
VIII	INSTITUTIONAL AND OPERATIONAL ALTERNATIVES VIII-1
Introduction	VIII-1
Institutional Approaches	VIII-1
Criteria for Institutional Structures	VIII-1
Institutional Structures	VIII-2
County Transit Service	VIII-2
Coordinating Council	VIII-4
Intergovernmental Transit Agency	VIII-5
Rural Transportation Authority	VIII-6
Institutional Case Studies	VIII-8
Grand Valley Regional Transportation Committee	VIII-8
Roaring Fork Transportation Authority (RFTA)	VIII-9
Pikes Peak Rural Transportation Authority (PPRTA)	VIII-9
Operational Alternatives	VIII-10

	Contractual Services	VIII-10
	Agency-Operated Transit Service	VIII-11
	Management and Oversight	VIII-12
	Operational Oversight Responsibilities	VIII-12
	Maintenance Oversight Responsibilities	VIII-13
	Administrative Oversight Responsibilities	VIII-14
	Administrative and Additional Staffing Costs	VIII-15
	Summary of Institutional Alternatives	VIII-17
IX	FINANCIAL ALTERNATIVES	IX-1
	Introduction	IX-1
	Funding Sources	IX-1
	Capital Funding	IX-1
	Operations and Maintenance Funding	IX-2
	Overall Service Considerations	IX-3
	Local and Regional Funding Sources	IX-3
	Conclusions	IX-5
	Federal and State Transit Funding	IX-6
	Other Federal Funds	IX-9
	Funding Summary	IX-10
	Grant Funding Cycle	IX-11
X	RECOMMENDATIONS	X-1
	Introduction	X-1
	Benefits of Transit	X-1
	Mobility and Access Benefits	X-2
	Economic and Financial Benefits	X-2
	Energy and Environmental Benefits	X-3
	Safety and Security Benefits	X-3
	Social Equity Benefits	X-4
	Intangible Benefits	X-4
	Service Feasibility	X-5
	Recommendations	X-5
	Coordinating Council	X-6
	Delta-Montrose Service	X-6
	Montrose-Telluride Service	X-6
	Regional Vanpool Program	V-7

APPENDIX A: Profiles of Local Providers

APPENDIX B: Employer Survey Questionnaire

APPENDIX C: Employee Survey Questionnaire

LIST OF TABULATIONS

Table	Title	Page
II-1	Population 2008	II-1
II-2	Median Household Income	II-3
II-3	Income Distribution	II-3
II-4	Educational Attainment	II-4
IV-1	Mode by Days/Week	IV-12
V-1	County-to-County Commuter Matrix	V-2
V-2	Travel Time to Work in Delta	V-5
V-3	Travel Time to Work in Montrose	V-5
V-4	Travel Time to Work in Ouray/Ridgway	V-6
V-5	Travel Time to Work in Telluride	V-6
VI-1	Service Alternatives - Cost Estimates	VI-23
VI-2	Potential Fare Revenue	VI-25
VIII-1	Summary of Institutional Alternatives	VIII-18

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
I-1	Study Area	I-2
II-1	Population Density	II-2
II-2	Density of Elderly Persons	II-6
II-3	Density of Mobility-Limited Persons	II-8
II-4	Density of Low-Income Persons	II-10
II-5	Density of Zero-Vehicle Households	II-12
II-6	Population Projections	II-14
II-7	Delta County Activity Centers	II-18
II-8	Montrose County Activity Centers	II-19
II-9	Ouray County Activity Centers	II-20
II-10	San Miguel County Activity Centers	II-21
III-1	Existing Transportation Providers	III-2
IV-1	Employer Business Classification	IV-2
IV-2	Do employees need a vehicle?	IV-3
IV-3	What type of vehicle?	IV-4
IV-4	Difficulty keeping employees due to transportation needs?	IV-5
IV-5	Business Start Time	IV-6
IV-6	Business End Time	IV-6

IV-7	Interested in a transit subsidy?	IV-7
IV-8	Employee Commuter Locations	IV-9
IV-9	Type of Employment	IV-10
IV-10	Mode to Work	IV-11
IV-11	Days Needing a Vehicle for Business Purposes	IV-12
IV-12	Days Needing a Vehicle for Personal Errands	IV-13
IV-13	Days Transporting Children to School	IV-14
IV-14	Would you use public transit?	IV-14
IV-15	Would you use a vanpool?	IV-15
IV-16	How often would you ride transit?	IV-16
IV-17	Would you use a park-and-ride lot?	IV-16
V-1	County-to-County Commuter Flow	V-3
V-2	Daily Commuter Transit Estimates - 0.5% Trip Rate	V-8
V-3	Daily Commuter Transit Estimates - 1% Trip Rate	V-9
V-4	Daily Commuter Transit Estimates - 2% Trip Rate	V-10
VI-1	Vanpool Service	VI-9
VI-2	Commuter Bus Service	VI-13
VI-3	Regional Bus Service	VI-18