

Garfield County Transportation Master Plan

Preliminary Plan

Prepared For:

Garfield County
108 East 8th Street, #107
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601
(970) 945-1377

Prepared By:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 North Tejon Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-2868

In Association With:

URS Corporation
9960 Federal Drive, Suite 300
Colorado Springs, CO 80921

LSC #045010

Revised: March 17, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
I	INTRODUCTION	I-1
	Description of the Study Area	I-2
	Planning Process	I-5
	Summary of the Issues	I-5
II	STUDY AREA DEMOGRAPHICS	II-1
	Garfield County	II-1
	2000 Census	II-1
	Population Projections	II-5
	Population	II-5
	Economy and Employment	II-6
	Major Employers	II-7
III	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM INVENTORY	III-1
	Roadway System	III-1
	Bridges	III-9
	Other Modes of Transportation	III-17
IV	CURRENT TRAVEL PATTERNS	IV-1
	Origin-Destination Patterns	IV-1
	Census Journey to Work Information	IV-1
	County-to-County Commute Patterns	IV-1
	Mode of Transportation to Work	IV-2
V	FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AND LEVEL OF SERVICE	V-1
	Functional Classification Definitions	V-1
	Arterial	V-1
	Collector	V-1
	Non-Residential Local Road	V-1
	Residential Local Roads	V-2
	Proposed Functional Classification	V-2
	Level of Service Definitions	V-5
	Existing Level of Service	V-5
	Proposed Level of Service Standard	V-6
	Proposed Roadway Cross-Section Standards	V-7
VI	TRAFFIC VOLUMES	VI-1
	Introduction	VI-1
	Existing Traffic Volumes	VI-1
	Roadways	VI-1
	Bridges	VI-2
	Traffic Volume Projections	VI-7
	Future Traffic Volumes	VI-13
	Summary	VI-19

VII	ROADWAY DEFICIENCIES	VII-1
	Introduction	VII-1
	Functional Classification Capacities	VII-1
	Capacity Methodologies	VII-1
	Volume to Capacity Ratio	VII-3
	Existing Deficiencies	VII-4
	Capacity Deficiencies	VII-4
	Condition Deficiencies	VII-10
	Future Deficiencies	VII-15
	Capacity Deficiencies	VII-15
	Condition Deficiencies	VII-23
	Summary	VII-23
VIII	PRIORITIZATION METHODOLOGY	VIII-1
	Criteria	VIII-1
	Level of Service	VIII-2
	Cost	VIII-2
	Facility Type	VIII-2
	Feasibility of Implementation	VIII-2
	Safety Issues	VIII-3
	Existing Needs	VIII-3
	Link to the State Transportation Plan	VIII-3
	Preliminary Project Priority	VIII-4
IX	IMPROVEMENT ALTERNATIVES	IX-1
	Introduction	IX-1
	Transportation Alternatives	IX-1
	No Build	IX-1
	Maintenance	IX-5
	Existing Need	IX-11
	Future Level of Service Improvements	IX-15
	Modal Choice	IX-21
	Preferred Improvement	IX-22
	Summary	IX-27
X	OFF-SITE STREET IMPACT FEE METHODOLOGY	X-1
	Purpose	X-1
	Background	X-1
	Limitations of Transportation Impact Fees	X-3
	Legal Authority	X-3
	Important Concepts	X-4
	Rational Nexus	X-4
	Rough Proportionality	X-4
	No Double-Counting of Fees	X-5
	Pre-Adoption Clause	X-5
	Waiver Available for Low- or Moderate-Income Housing	X-5
	Types of Traffic Impact Fees	X-5
	Density Method	X-5
	Consumption-Based Approach	X-6
	Improvements-Driven Model	X-7

Impact Fees in Colorado Jurisdictions	X-7
North Front Range MPO	X-8
Pitkin County	X-9
City of Rifle	X-9
Mesa County	X-10
Eagle County	X-11
Current Garfield County Traffic Impact Fee Program	X-12
Garfield County Proposed Street Impact Fee Methodology	X-13
Assumptions	X-13
Methodology	X-14
Growth in Housing Units	X-15
Trip Generation	X-19
Cost of Proposed Transportation Improvements	X-23
Cost per Trip	X-24
Transportation Impact Fee Schedule	X-24
Estimated Revenues	X-25
Non-Residential Impact Fees	X-27
Recommendations	X-27
Comparison Issue	X-31
Bibliography	X-33
XI TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM RECOMMENDATION	XI-1
Introduction	XI-1
Existing and Future Funding	XI-1
Fiscally-Constrained Project List	XI-2
Fiscally-Constrained Recommended Projects	XI-10
Growth Adjustment	XI-24
APPENDIX A: Capacity Manual	
APPENDIX B: Level of Service	
APPENDIX C: Turn Lane Analysis	
APPENDIX D: Gas Well Impact Fee	
APPENDIX E: Douglas/Larimer Counties	

LIST OF TABULATIONS

Table	Title	Page
ES-1	Traffic Impact Fee Schedule	ES-2
II-1	2000 General Population Characteristics	II-2
II-2	Garfield County - Seasonal Population Projections	II-6
II-3	Employment by Sector of the Economy	II-6
II-4	2025 Regional Projected Employment	II-7
II-5	Major Employers in Garfield County	II-7
IV-1	Journey to Work Patterns	IV-2
IV-2	Mode of Transportation to Work for Workers in Garfield County	IV-2
V-1	Roadway Standards	V-10
VI-1	2000 General Population Characteristics	VI-8
VI-2	Population Growth Rate Characteristics	VI-14
VII-1	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service	VII-3
VII-2	Existing Deficient Garfield County Roadways and Bridges	VII-9
VII-3	Deficient Bridges 2000	VII-10
VII-4	Existing Roadways Deficient by Surface Condition	VII-11
VII-5	2025 Deficient Roadways with Deficient LOS	VII-16
VII-6	Deficient Bridges 2025	VII-23
IX-1	Maintenance Alternatives	IX-6-8
IX-2	Existing Need Alternatives for Garfield County Roads	IX-12
IX-3	Future LOS Improvements	IX-17
IX-4	Modal Split Alternative Production	IX-21
X-1	North Front Range MPO Traffic Impact Fee Schedule	X-8
X-2	Pitkin County Traffic Impact Fee Schedule	X-9
X-3	City of Rifle Traffic Impact Fee Schedule	X-10
X-4	Mesa County Traffic Impact Fee Schedule	X-11
X-5	Eagle County Traffic Impact Fee Schedule	X-12
X-6	Traffic Impact Fee Calculation	X-14
X-7	Housing Unit Growth (2000-2025)	X-17
X-8	Trip Generation Rates	X-19
X-9	New Trip Generation by Land Use	X-21
X-10	Estimated Expenditures	X-23
X-11	Transportation Capacity Needs	X-24
X-12	Traffic Impact Fee Schedule	X-25
X-13	Estimated Revenues	X-26
XI-1	Garfield County Expenditures	XI-2
XI-2	Maintenance Alternatives	XI-4-6
XI-3	Existing Need Alternatives for Garfield County Roads	XI-7
XI-4	Future Improvement Alternatives	XI-9
XI-5	2025 Project List	XI-11-15

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
I-1	Study Area	I-3
II-1	Population Density	II-3
II-2	2025 Population Projections	II-5
III-1	Road System	III-3
III-2	Existing Road Surfaces	III-5
III-3	Existing Road Conditions	III-7
III-4	Average Daily Traffic Volumes	III-11
III-5	Existing Bridges	III-13
III-6	Deficient Bridges	III-15
V-1	Proposed Roadway Functional Classification	V-3
V-2	Cross Sections	V-8
V-2A	Cross Sections	V-9
VI-1	Average Daily Traffic Volumes	VI-3
VI-2	Existing Bridges	VI-5
VI-3	2025 Population Growth – Garfield County	VI-9
VI-4	2025 Population Growth – Major Urban Areas	VI-11
VI-5	2025 Average Daily Traffic Volumes	VI-15
VI-6	Future Average Daily Traffic Volumes	VI-17
VII-1	Existing Deficiencies	VII-5
VII-2	Existing Deficiencies	VII-7
VII-3	Existing Intersection Level of Service	VII-13
VII-4	Future Deficiencies	VII-17
VII-5	Future Deficiencies	VII-19
VII-6	Future Intersection Level of Service	VII-21
IX-1	No Build Alternatives Deficiencies in Year 2025	IX-3
IX-2	Maintenance Alternative Deficiencies in Year 2025	IX-9
IX-3	Existing Alternative Deficiencies in Year 2025	IX-13
IX-4	Future Alternative Deficiencies in Year 2025	IX-19
IX-5	Preferred Alternative Deficiencies in Year 2025	IX-25
X-1	Impact Fee Districts	X-29
XI-1	Fiscal Constraint Final Plan	XI-19
XI-2	Transportation Deficiencies in Year 2025	XI-21