

Gallatin County Transportation Needs Assessment

Phase One Report Revised Draft

Prepared for:

Gallatin County Commission
311 West Main Street
Bozeman, MT 59715
(406) 582-3000

Prepared by:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 North Tejon Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-2868

in association with

BMI-SG
8330 Boone Boulevard, Suite 400
Vienna, VA 22182
(703) 847-3071

LSC #045470

February 17, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
I	INTRODUCTION	I-1
	Purpose of the Study	I-1
	Study Approach	I-2
	Project Team	I-3
	Stakeholders Meetings	I-3
	Opportunities for Public Involvement	I-4
	Report Contents	I-4
II	PLAN REVIEW	II-1
	Greater Bozeman Area Transit Development Plan, 2001	II-1
	Community Overview	II-1
	Current Transit Providers	II-1
	Results of Community Input	II-2
	Transit Alternatives	II-3
	Greater Bozeman Area Transportation Plan, 2001 Update	II-3
	Feasibility Report: Bozeman Area Transit, 2002	II-4
	Transit Task Force	II-4
	Plan Recommendations	II-4
	Implementation	II-5
	System Design and Cost	II-5
	System Design and Outreach Study	II-7
	Bozeman Area Transit Plan, 2003	II-7
	Summary	II-8
III	GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	III-1
	Vision	III-1
	Goals and Objectives	III-2
	Short-Term Goals (2006-2011)	III-3
	Long-Term Goals (2012-2015)	III-3
IV	COMMUNITY CONDITIONS	IV-1
	Community Description	IV-1
	Study Area Location	IV-1
	Major Transit Destinations	IV-3
	Study Area Demographics	IV-5
	1990 Population	IV-5
	2000 Population	IV-5
	Population Density	IV-5
	General Population Statistics	IV-8
	Transit-Dependent Population Characteristics	IV-8
	Elderly Population	IV-11
	Mobility-Limited Population	IV-11
	Low-Income Population	IV-14
	Zero-Vehicle Households	IV-14
	Population Projections	IV-17
	Economy	IV-17

	Major Employers	IV-18
	Travel Patterns	IV-19
	Work Transportation Mode	IV-19
V	EXISTING TRANSPORTATION PROVIDERS	V-1
	Introduction	V-1
	Galavan	V-1
	Description of Transportation Services	V-1
	Ridership Patterns	V-1
	Long-Term Trends	V-1
	Recent Trends	V-2
	Ridership by Market Segment	V-3
	Vehicle Fleet	V-3
	Financial Status	V-4
	Revenues	V-4
	Expenses	V-5
	Performance Measures	V-5
	Bobcat Transit	V-6
	Description of Transportation Services	V-6
	Financial Status	V-8
	Revenues	V-8
	Expenses	V-8
	Performance Measures	V-8
	Other Transportation Resources	V-9
	REACH	V-10
	All Valley Cab	V-10
	Rimrock/Trailways	V-10
	Greyhound Bus	V-10
	Karst Stage	V-10
	4 x 4 Stage	V-11
	Montana Motor Coach	V-11
	Bridger Ski Bus	V-11
	Hotel Shuttles	V-11
VI	SURVEY RESULTS	VI-1
	Introduction	VI-1
	Survey Instrument Design	VI-1
	MSU Student Survey	VI-1
	Survey Preparation	VI-1
	Results	VI-2
	Travel Distances and Modes of Transportation	VI-2
	Utilization of Bobcat Transit	VI-4
	Assessment of Preferences	VI-5
	Respondent Characteristics	VI-7
	Written Comments	VI-9
	Employee Survey Results	VI-9
	Employer Survey Results	VI-10
	Community Survey Results	VI-10
	Demographics	VI-10
	Voting Choices	VI-13
	Existing Transit Services	VI-14

	Need for Transit and Parameters of a Transit System	VI-14
	Transit Benefits and Public Education	VI-19
	Sub-Geographical Comparison	VI-26
	Cross-Tab Analysis	VI-35
	Summary	VI-39
	MSU Student Survey	VI-39
	General Public Surveys	VI-40
VII	TRANSIT NEEDS ASSESSMENT	VII-1
	Introduction	VII-1
	Greatest Transit Need	VII-1
	Methodology	VII-1
	Results	VII-5
	Fixed-Route Demand Model	VII-7
	Approach	VII-7
	Paratransit Demand Model	VII-17
	Program Trip Demand	VII-23
	Approach	VII-23
	Summary	VII-24
VIII	TRANSIT SERVICE ALTERNATIVES	VIII-1
	Introduction	VIII-1
	Types of Transit Service	VIII-1
	Fixed-Route Service	VIII-1
	Service Routes	VIII-3
	Demand-Response Service	VIII-3
	Flexible Routes	VIII-4
	Route Deviation	VIII-4
	Checkpoint Service	VIII-4
	Transit Service Options	VIII-5
	Transit Service Option I - MSU 2002 Alternative	VIII-5
	Route 1	VIII-9
	Route 2	VIII-9
	Route 3	VIII-9
	Route 4 - Commuter Route	VIII-9
	Paratransit Service	VIII-9
	Transit Service Option II - WTI Alternative	VIII-15
	Route 1	VIII-19
	Route 2	VIII-19
	Route 3	VIII-19
	Route 4 - Commuter Route	VIII-19
	Paratransit Service	VIII-20
	Transit Service Option III - Fixed-Route MSU Hub	VIII-25
	Route 1	VIII-29
	Route 2	VIII-29
	Route 3	VIII-29
	Route 4 - Commuter Route	VIII-29
	Paratransit Service	VIII-29
	Transit Service Option IV - Flex Route / MSU Hub	VIII-35
	Route 1	VIII-39
	Route 2	VIII-39

Route 3	VIII-39
Route 4 - Commuter Route	VIII-39
Transit Service Option V - Demand-Response	VIII-45
Legal Considerations	VIII-45
ADA Paratransit Considerations	VIII-45
Estimated Farebox and Revenues	VIII-48
Farebox and Revenues	VIII-48
Urban Transportation District (UTD) Revenue	VIII-49
MSU Student Activity Fee	VIII-49
Capital Recommendations	VIII-49
Vehicle Replacement	VIII-49
Infrastructure	VIII-53
Vehicle Type	VIII-53
Recommendation	VIII-56
Transit Service Recommendation	VIII-56
Advantages/Disadvantages	VIII-56
MSU 2002 Alt Advantages	VIII-56
MSU 2002 Alt Disadvantages	VIII-56
WTI Advantages	VIII-56
WTI Disadvantages	VIII-57
Fixed-Route MSU Hub Advantages	VIII-57
Fixed-Route MSU Hub Disadvantages	VIII-57
Flex-Route Advantages	VIII-57
Flex-Route Disadvantages	VIII-58
Demand-Response Advantages	VIII-58
Demand-Response Disadvantages	VIII-58
Service Recommendation	VIII-58
Summary	VIII-59

IX	TRANSIT SERVICE PLAN	IX-1
	Introduction	IX-1
	Organizational Plan	IX-1
	Creation of Urban Transportation District	IX-1
	Urban Transportation Districts, Legal Considerations	IX-2
	Implementation Steps	IX-5
	Service Plan	IX-5
	Fixed Route	IX-5
	Benefits	IX-7
	Timing	IX-7
	Responsibility	IX-7
	Implementation Steps	IX-7
	Paratransit Service	IX-7
	Benefits	IX-8
	Timing	IX-8
	Responsibility	IX-8
	Implementation Steps	IX-9
	Commuter Service	IX-9
	Benefits	IX-9
	Timing	IX-9
	Responsibility	IX-9
	Implementation Steps	IX-9

	Capital Recommendations	IX-19
	Transit Infrastructure	IX-19
	Transit Maintenance Facility	IX-19
	Bus Transfer Station	IX-19
	Bus Shelters	IX-19
	Vehicle Replacement	IX-22
	Miscellaneous Costs	IX-22
	Financial Plan	IX-22
	Federal Funding	IX-22
	UTD Funding	IX-23
X	TRANSIT SERVICE PLAN OPTIONS	X-1
	Revision of the Preferred Transit Service Option	X-1
	Organizational Plan Changes	X-1
	Financial Plan Changes	X-2
	Transit Ballot Approval	X-4
	Intermediate Transit Service Plan	X-5
	Introduction	X-5
	Organizational Plan	X-5
	Service Plan	X-6
	Fixed-Route Service	X-6
	Route 1	X-7
	Route 2	X-7
	Commuter Route	X-7
	Capital Requirements	X-12
	Transit Infrastructure	X-12
	Transit Maintenance Facility	X-12
	Bus Transfer Station	X-12
	Bus Shelters	X-12
	Vehicle Replacement	X-13
	Miscellaneous Costs	X-14
	Financial Plan	X-14
	Federal Funding	X-14
	UTD or Municipal Funding	X-15
	Minimum Transit System	X-16
	Summary	X-19

APPENDIX A: Survey Forms

APPENDIX B: Survey Comments

APPENDIX C: TCRP Trip Rates

APPENDIX D: Funding

APPENDIX E: FTA Master Agreement

LIST OF TABULATIONS

Table	Title	Page
II-1	Initial System Cost Summary	II-6
IV-1	2000 General Population	IV-9
IV-2	2000 Estimated General Population Characteristics	IV-10
IV-3	Gallatin County Population and Projections	IV-17
IV-4	2003 Estimated Employment by Sector of the Economy	IV-18
IV-5	Major Employers	IV-19
IV-6	2000 Mode of Transportation to Work	IV-20
V-1	Galavan Ridership Variation	V-2
V-2	Galavan Market Segment for 2003	V-3
V-3	Galavan Fleet Inventory (2004)	V-4
V-4	Funding Sources	V-4
V-5	Operating Costs (FY 2003)	V-5
V-6	2003 Galavan Performance	V-6
V-7	2002-2003 Bobcat Performance	V-9
VI-1	Residence Location	VI-3
VI-2	Transit Features Ratings	VI-6
VI-3	Destination Preference	VI-7
VI-4	Likelihood of November 2003 Voters to Vote for Transit	VI-14
VI-5	Public Project Ranking	VI-26
VI-6	City of Bozeman	VI-30
VI-7	City of Belgrade	VI-31
VI-8	Rural Areas	VI-32
VI-9	Public Project Support	VI-34
VI-10	Likelihood of November 2003 Voters to Vote for Transit	VI-35
VI-11	Cross Tab of Questions 2 and 9	VI-36
VI-12	Cross Tab of Questions 2 and 9 (Bozeman only)	VI-37
VI-13	Cross Tab of Questions 2 and 11	VI-38
VI-14	Cross Tab of Questions 2 and 23	VI-39
VII-1	2000 Greatest Transit Need Scores by Census Block Group	VII-3
VII-2	Census Block Groups with Greatest Transit Need	VII-7
VII-3	2000 - Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model	VII-9
VII-4	2005 - Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model	VII-1
VII-5	2010 - Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model	VII-13
VII-6	2015 - Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model	VII-15
VII-7	2000 Paratransit Demand Estimate - Bozeman Urbanized Area ...	VII-19
VII-8	2010 Paratransit Demand Estimate for Gallatin County	VII-21
VII-9	Program Participants and Annual Demand Estimates	VII-24
VIII-1	Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model (MSU 2002 Alt)	VIII-7
VIII-2	MSU 2002 Alt	VIII-11
VIII-3A	MSU 2002 Schedule - Tourist Route	VIII-13
VIII-3B	MSU 2002 Schedule - West Route	VIII-14

VIII-3C	MSU 2002 Schedule - Elderly Route	VIII-14
VIII-3D	MSU 2002 Schedule - Commuter Route	VIII-15
VIII-4	Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model (WTI)	VIII-17
VIII-5	WTI	VIII-21
VIII-6A	WTI - Yellow Route Schedule	VIII-23
VIII-6B	WTI - Red Route Schedule	VIII-23
VIII-6C	WTI - Blue Route Schedule	VIII-24
VIII-6D	WTI - Green Route Schedule	VIII-24
VIII-7	Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model	VIII-27
VIII-8	Fixed-Route	VIII-31
VIII-9A	Fixed-Route - 1 Schedule	VIII-33
VIII-9B	Fixed-Route - 2 Schedule	VIII-33
VIII-9C	Fixed-Route - 3 Schedule	VIII-34
VIII-9D	Fixed-Route - 4 Schedule - Commuter Route	VIII-34
VIII-10	Gallatin County Flex-Route Demand Model (Alternative)	VIII-37
VIII-11	Flex-Route	VIII-41
VIII-12A	Flex-Route 1 Schedule	VIII-43
VIII-12B	Flex-Route 2 Schedule	VIII-43
VIII-12C	Flex-Route 3 Schedule	VIII-44
VIII-12D	Flex-Route 4 Schedule - Commuter Route	VIII-44
VIII-13	Fare Structure	VIII-48
VIII-14	Bus Replacement 7-Year Option	VIII-51
VIII-15	Bus Replacement 4-Year Option	VIII-51
VIII-16	Vehicle Information for Gallatin County	VIII-54-55
VIII-17	Summary of the Performance Measures	VIII-60
IX-1A	Preferred Service Plan Schedule – Fixed-Route 1-blue	IX-12
IX-1B	Preferred Service Plan Schedule – Fixed-Route 2-pink	IX-13
IX-1C	Preferred Service Plan Schedule – Fixed-Route 3-red	IX-14
IX-1D	Preferred Service Plan Schedule – Fixed-Route 4-green	IX-15
IX-1E	Preferred Service Plan Schedule – Commuter Route	IX-16
IX-2	Preferred Service Plan – Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model	IX-17
IX-3	Transit Plan, 2006-2011	IX-26
IX-4	Vehicle Purchase and Replacement (6-Year Plan)	IX-27
IX-5	Vehicle Purchase and Replacement (10-Year Plan)	IX-27
X-1	Transit Plan, 2006-2001	X-3
X-2	Intermediate Bus Route Service Plan – Gallatin County Fixed-Route Demand Model	X-9
X-3	Intermediate Bus Routes	X-11
X-4	Vehicle Purchase and Replacement (6-Year Plan)	X-13
X-5	Vehicle Purchase and Replacement (10-Year Plan)	X-14
X-6	Transit Plan, 2006-2011	X-18
X-7	Minimum Bus Routes	X-20
X-8	Summary of the Performance Measures	X-21

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Title	Page
IV-1	Gallatin Study Area	IV-2
IV-2	Major Transit Destinations	IV-4
IV-3	2000 Census Block Groups	IV-6
IV-4	2000 Population Density	IV-7
IV-5	Density of Persons 60 Years or Older	IV-12
IV-6	Density of Mobility-Limited Persons	IV-13
IV-7	Density of Persons Below Poverty	IV-15
IV-8	Density of Zero-Vehicle Households	IV-16
IV-9	Population Projections	IV-17
V-1	Galavan Annual Trips	V-2
V-2	2002-2003 Trips (Galavan)	V-3
V-3	Bobcat Transit Routes	V-7
VI-1	Mode of Travel in Winter	VI-4
VI-2	Mode of Travel Non-Winter	VI-4
VI-3	Use of Bobcat Transit	VI-5
VI-4	Possible Future Transit Use	VI-5
VI-5	Student Grades	VI-8
VI-6	MSU Student Gender	VI-8
VI-7	Survey Respondent Locations	VI-12
VI-8	Voting For or Against Transit	VI-13
VI-9	Public Transit Needed	VI-15
VI-10	Appropriate Tax for Transit	VI-15
VI-11	Trust Local Government to Use Taxes Wisely	VI-16
VI-12	Should the County Operate Transit	VI-16
VI-13	Should the City Operate Transit	VI-17
VI-14	Should Authority Operate Transit	VI-18
VI-15	Property Tax Range	VI-18
VI-16	Benefit to Community	VI-19
VI-17	Vote for Transit County Commissioners	VI-20
VI-18	If an Appointed Board Ran Transit	VI-20
VI-19	If Improvement for Seniors	VI-21
VI-20	If Improvement for Disabled Community	VI-21
VI-21	If Improvement for MSU	VI-22
VI-22	If Benefit to Themselves	VI-23
VI-23	If Benefit to Someone They Know	VI-23
VI-24	If a Nonprofit Ran Transit	VI-24
VI-25	If Galavan Service is Maintained	VI-24
VI-26	If Transit had 30-minute Headways	VI-25
VI-27	Bozeman Vote for Transit	VI-27
VI-28	Transit for Belgrade	VI-27
VI-29	Rural for Transit	VI-28
VI-30	Bozeman Amount for Transit	VI-28
VI-31	Belgrade Amount for Transit	VI-29
VI-32	Rural Tax for Transit	VI-29

VII-1	Greatest Transit Need	VII-6
VIII-1	Bozeman Area - MSU 2002 Alt	VIII-6
VIII-2	Bozeman Area - WTI	VIII-16
VIII-3	Bozeman Area - Fixed Route	VIII-26
VIII-4	Bozeman Area - Flex Route (Alternative)	VIII-36
IX-1	Transportation District Boundary	IX-3
IX-2	Gallatin Organizational Chart	IX-4
IX-3	Preferred System	IX-6
IX-4	Commuter Route	IX-10
IX-5	Shelter Locations	IX-21
IX-6	Short-Term Plan Time Line	IX-25
X-1	Intermediate Bus Routes System	X-8
X-2	Minimum Bus Routes System	X-17